

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 STEVEN YOURKE,

No. C 03-03105 CRB

12 Plaintiff,

ORDER

13 v.


14 CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO et
15 al.,

16 Defendants.
17 _____/

18 Now pending before the Court is plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File a Second
19 Amended Complaint. After carefully considering the parties' memoranda, as well as the
20 current posture of the lawsuit, the Court finds that oral argument is unnecessary pursuant to
21 Local Rule 7-1(b). Plaintiff's motion is hereby DENIED without prejudice to re-noticing the
22 motion after the Ninth Circuit has issued an opinion in the parallel class action, Bull v. City
23 and County of San Francisco, Case No. C 03-1840 CRB. To conserve judicial resources, this
24 lawsuit is hereby STAYED in its entirety pending the Ninth Circuit's decision in Bull.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26
27 Dated: July 25, 2006

28 
CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28